


BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 03341 3733

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

**Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

1996-1997

Prepared with the assistance of Ranald Sinclair, Ella Schwartz, Faith Teo and Wendy Adams

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

4
2
1
1
1

AL 1 0544

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP 4 1996
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III


**Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

1996-1997

Prepared with the assistance of Ranauld Sinclair, Ella Schwartz, Faith Teo and Wendy Adams

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

FAMILY LAW

1996-1997

Professors Carol Rogerson and Martha Shaffer

Table of Contents

Volume III

	<u>Page No.</u>
VIII. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS	
A. <u>Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context</u>	
<i>Balfour v. Balfour</i>	653
<i>Harper's Magazine</i> , "Conditional Love"	653
O'Donovan, "Reforming the Private" from <i>Sexual Divisions</i> <i>in Law</i>	655
Mnookin, "Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering"	658
Trebilcock and Keshvani, "The Role of Private Ordering in Family Law"	662
Bailey, " <i>Pelch, Caron, and Richardson</i> "	664
Note: The <i>Pelech</i> Trilogy.....	664
B. <u>Limits on Contracting</u>	
a) <u>Setting Aside Contracts: General Principles of Contract Law</u>	
<i>Hovius, Family Law</i>	666
<i>Hyldtoft v. Hyldtoft</i>	667
<i>Puopolo v. Puopolo</i>	668
<i>Lindsay v. Lindsay</i>	671
<i>Crouse v. Crouse</i>	676
Note: <i>Heaton v. Heaton; Currie v. Currie</i>	680
<i>Rosen v. Rosen</i>	681
<i>Slate v. Slate</i>	684
Majury, "Unconscionability in an Equality Context"	685
b) <u>Statutory Powers to Set Aside and Vary</u>	
Introductory Note	691

i)	<u>Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by Wives (Payees)</u>	
	Cossman, "A Matter of Difference: Domestic Contracts and Gender Equality"	691
	Note: <i>Fyffe; Marshall; Isaacson</i>	693
	<i>Winsor v. Winsor</i>	694
ii)	<u>Post-Pelech: Variation Requests by Husbands (Payors)</u>	
	Bailey, " <i>Pelech, Richardson and Caron</i> "	696
	Note: <i>Leman; Ritchie</i>	697
	<i>Hood v. Hood</i>	697
	<i>Masters v. Masters</i>	704
	<i>L.G. v. G.B.</i>	709

XI. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Defining the Parent-Child Relationship

a)	<u>Establishing Paternity</u>	
	<i>Re Rhan and Pinsonneault</i>	716
	<i>K.P. v. P.N.</i>	719
	Note: Status of the Unmarried Father	720
b)	<u>In Loco Parentis</u>	
	<i>Primeau v. Primeau</i>	723
	<i>Ogden v. Anderson</i>	728
	<i>Carignan v. Carignan</i>	729
	<i>Hart v. Hart and Boyce</i>	737
	Note: <i>Morrison - Pelletier v. Pelletier</i>	739

B. Calculation of Child Support

a)	<u>Introduction</u>	
	Blown and Milliken, "The Failure of the Legal System to Protect the Legal Rights of Children"	740
	Department of Justice, <i>Evaluation of the Divorce Act</i>	745
	Eekelaar and Maclean, "The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations"	746

	Eekelaar, "Maintenance and Children" from <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i>	747
b)	<u>The Paras Formula</u>	
	Rogerson, "Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the Divorce Act"	749
	<i>Paras v. Paras</i>	750
	Rogerson, "Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the Divorce Act"	751
	<i>Lucas v. Lucas</i>	755
	<i>Moosa v. Moosa</i>	758
	<i>Note: Contributions of the Custodial Parent Under the Paras Formula</i>	760
	Further Reading	760
c)	<u>New Developments in Child Support: The Judicial Arena</u>	
	<i>Levesque v. Levesque</i>	761
	<i>Willick v. Willick</i>	772
	<i>Note: Variation of Child Support Agreements</i>	787
	<i>Kawall v. Kawall; Rusticus v. Rusticus (Lawyer's Weekly)</i>	788
d)	<u>Legislative Reform of Child Support Law: Child Support Guidelines</u>	
	Pask, "The Effect of Maintenance on Custody Sharing"	791
	<i>Note: The Development of Child Support Guidelines in Canada</i>	793
	Federal/Provincial/Territorial Family Law Committee, <i>Report and Recommendations on Child Support</i>	793
e)	<u>Variation of Child Support and Second Family Obligations</u>	
	Government of Canada, <i>The New Child Support Package</i> , (March 6, 1996)	795
	Rogerson, "Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> , 1985"	803
	Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i>	803
	<i>Sawchyn v. Sawchyn</i>	804
	<i>Storer v. Storer</i>	807
	<i>R. (L.D.) v. R. (H.D.)</i>	809
	<i>Note: Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	810
	<i>Johnston v. Haywood (Lawyer's Weekly)</i>	811

f) Child Support and AccessNote: *Twaddle v. Twaddle*; *Lee v. Lee*; *Brownell v. Brownell*;*Harrison v. Harrison*817*Welstead v. Bainbridge*817

X. CUSTODY AND ACCESS

A. Introduction

Folberg, "Custody Overview"819

Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality
Possible?"822Gordon, "Multiple Meanings of Equality: A Case Study in
Custody Litigation"826

Richards, "Divorcing Children: Roles for Parents and the State"834

Young v. Young837B. The Best Interests Testa) ApplicationsDepartment of Justice, *Custody and Access: Public
Discussion Paper*856Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality
Possible?"863*Talsky v. Talsky*864*Klachefsky v. Brown*869*R. v. R.*875*Fishback v. Fishback*884Boyd, "Women, Men and Relationships with Children: Is Equality
Possible?"889b) Violence and Abuse"Mother loses custody after move to shelter",
The Globe and Mail, February 15, 1989891*Young v. Young*891*Renaud v. Renaud*896Myers, "Allegations of Child Sexual Abuse in Custody and
Visitation Litigation: Recommendations for Improved
Fact Finding and Child Protection"896

c) Sexual Orientation

<i>Bezaire v. Bezaire</i>	900
Crean, <i>In the Name of the Fathers: The Story Behind</i> <i>Child Custody</i>	904
Ehrcke, "Limiting Judicial Discretion in Custody Proceedings on Divorce"	906
Note: <i>Saunders v. Saunders</i>	910
<i>K. (Re)</i>	910

C. Alternatives to the Best Interests Test

Folberg, "Joint Custody"	916
Note: Recent Changes to California's Custody Legislation	921
Department of Justice, <i>Custody and Access: Public Discussion Paper</i>	921
Lenoff, "Joint Custody and Beyond"	923
Department of Justice, <i>Custody and Access: Public Discussion Paper</i>	928
Boyd, "Potentialities and Perils of the Primary Caregiver Presumption"	929
<i>K. v. K</i>	934
Department of Justice, <i>Custody and Access: Public Discussion Paper</i>	937
Boyd, "W(h)ither Feminism? The Department of Justice Public Discussion Paper on Custody and Access"	938

D. Mobility Rights of the Custodial Parent and Access

<i>Gordon v. Goertz</i>	942
-------------------------------	-----

E. Interference With Access

<i>Tremblay v. Tremblay</i>	959
<i>Frame v. Smith</i>	960

